

**To:** Packard, Elise[Packard.Elise@epa.gov]; Trudeau, Shaun[Trudeau.Shaun@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Cooper, Geoffrey[cooper.geoff@epa.gov]  
**From:** Scalise, Laura  
**Sent:** Tue 1/9/2018 7:48:36 PM  
**Subject:** Watergen update

Hi Elise. I just got off the phone with Yahuda of Watergen and his US attorney, Travis Lucas. Other EPA folks were on too, including Ardra who set up the call.

Yahuda is fine with the Statement of Work – no issue there.

Rather, he was concerned about one of the clauses in the CRADA that he said allowed EPA to propose research projects to perform with Watergen, and perhaps without them. (?) I tried to get a clear a description of the issue, but he was a little too vague for me to do that. And it was apparent he didn't want to go over the document on the phone to help me identify the clause.

So I told him that don't know of any section in the CRADA, especially in the current version that Liat and I worked out together, that had that kind of clause. And he could not pick out the clause of his concern from the document. I assured him that Liat and I went over the document time and time again until we were both satisfied with the edits. I asked him to PLEASE look at the document and let me know if the language was still there, so I could edit it as best as I could. He said he would talk to Liat and get back to me within 24 hours with either the section to edit or the OK to go ahead with signatures.

We are close to the end and I'm cautiously optimistic..

- Laura

*"Everything should be made as simple as possible,  
but not simpler." - Albert Einstein*

Laura Scalise

Patent Attorney

WJCN 7426-S

Office: 202-564-8303

iPhone: 202-839-1488 (for telework)